



Original Article

Incidence of Primary Open Angle Glaucoma in Patients Presenting with Retinal Vein Occlusion

Dr Aarij Zaffar Khan¹, Dr Abdul Wahab Bhat², Dr Tahleel Mohd Jeelani³

¹Senior Resident, Department of Ophthalmology, GMC Baramulla

²Professor, Department of Ophthalmology, GMC Baramulla

³Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesiology,, GMC Baramulla

OPEN ACCESS

Corresponding Author:

Dr Tahleel Mohd Jeelani

Assistant Professor, Department of
Anaesthesiology, ,GMC Baramulla

Email:

tahleelmohammad9109@gmail.com

Received: 20-04-2026

Accepted: 11-05-2026

Available online: 22-05-2026

Copyright © International Journal of
Medical and Pharmaceutical Research

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To determine the incidence of primary open angle glaucoma in patients presenting with Retinal vein occlusion in tertiary care hospital in north Kashmir.

Study Design and Place of Study: Cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College Baramulla from January 2024 to December 2025.

Material and Methods: A sample size of 100 cases was calculated with 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error. Non-probability consecutive sampling was done. Adult patients of either gender presenting with retinal vein occlusion (RVO) diagnosed within a month were included in the study. The demographic information and Visual Acuity were recorded and a detailed slit lamp examination, gonioscopy and funduscopy was carried out. Applanation tonometry for Intra ocular pressure (IOP) measurement was performed. Central corneal thickness was measured and the correction factor was applied. If there was raised Intraocular pressure (> 20 mm Hg), then patient was labeled as glaucoma. Data was collected and analyzed by SPSS. Data was stratified. Post stratification, Chi-square was applied.

Keywords: Retinal Vein Occlusion, Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma, Intraocular Pressure, Funduscopy, Cross-Sectional Study.

INTRODUCTION

Among the retinal vascular diseases Retinal vein occlusion (RVO) is the second common reason of vision loss after diabetic retinopathy¹. Central and branch retinal vein occlusion are its two distinct types based upon site of occlusion. Its prevalence varies from 0.7% to 1.6% in different studies². The pathogenies of acute RVO is still not well understood³. The natural history of RVO is variable, many patients have good prognosis with one study showing half of patients achieved 20/40 vision after 6 months without treatment⁴. Other causes of vision loss due to RVO include cystoid macular edema, neovascularization leading to vitreous haemorrhage, retinal detachment or glaucoma⁵.

Glaucoma is a specific form of optic neuropathy causing irreversible blindness and second most common cause to blindness worldwide⁶. Relationship between RVO and glaucoma has been well established since the start of the 20th century¹. In one study the percentage of central retinal vein occlusion was 25% while for BRVO was 8.6% in diagnosed case of chronic simple glaucoma⁷. Hayreh reported prevalence of RVO among glaucoma was higher than normal population i.e. approximately 10%^{5,8}. The Eye Disease Case-Control Study, in a large series of patients with RVO, found that in all types of RVO, history of glaucoma was found⁹.

So, aim of this study was to find the incidence of glaucoma in patients presenting with RVO in a tertiary care Hospital. In RVO the comorbidity of glaucoma enhances the severity of RVO. Timely diagnosis and management can prevent patients from permanent vision loss. Literature has reported that in few cases of RVO glaucoma occurs, but some studies reported little higher incidence/prevalence.

Moreover, no local evidence was available regarding this issue which can discover the extent of glaucoma in RVO cases in local population, knowing the exact incidence can help in setting the guidelines for prevention of glaucoma in RVO cases.


MATERIAL AND METHODS



This observational study was conducted in Department of Ophthalmology, Govt. Medical College Baramulla for two years from Jan 2024 to December 2025. A sample size of 100 cases was calculated with 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error and taking expected % glaucoma 9.9% in patients presenting with retinal vein occlusion. Non-probability consecutive sampling was done.

Patients' age ranging from 18 to 70 years of either gender presenting with RVO diagnosed (history of loss of vision and funduscopy show dilation and tortuosity of vein with retinal hemorrhages) within a month were included in the study. Patients with history of ocular trauma or surgery for glaucoma, previous corneal opacity and base line visual acuity of no perception of light (NPL) were excluded from the study. Patients were registered from Outpatient Department (OPD), an informed consent was taken. The demographic information like name, age, sex and address was recorded. Visual Acuity of all the patients was recorded by Snellen's visual acuity chart. A detailed slit lamp examination with funduscopy carried out by 90D and 66D fundus lenses and gonioscopy was carried out with Goldman three mirror gonioscopy lens to confirm the diagnosis of retinal vein occlusion and primary open angle glaucoma. Examination of fellow eye was also carried out. All the patients then underwent applanation tonometry for the Intra ocular pressure measurement. Central corneal thickness was measured and the correction factor was applied. If there was abnormally raised Intraocular pressure (> 20 mm Hg), then patient was labeled as glaucoma as per operational definition of study. All the information was collected on a predesigned proforma. No ethical issue and risk was involved. Data was analyzed by SPSS version 17. The quantitative variable like age was presented as mean and standard deviation The Qualitative variable like gender and glaucoma were presented as frequency and percentage. Data was stratified for the age, gender and duration of RVO, history of Diabetes Mellitus and hypertension. Post stratification, Chi-square was applied taking p-value < 0.05 as significant.

RESULTS


The mean age was 46.28 +/- 15.02 years among the patients. There were 62% male patients while 38% female patients (Figure 1).





The male/female ratio was 1.6 to 1. Hypertension was present in 46 (46%) patients and diabetes mellitus was present in 28 (28%) patients (Figure 2).

The mean IOP of the patients was 15.87 ± 4.52 mm Hg and glaucoma was observed in 14 (14%) patients (Figure 3).



The study results showed that among 47 patients of age less than 45 years, glaucoma was found in 4 cases but in 53 patients of age \geq to 45 years, glaucoma was found in 10 cases. Statistically there is insignificant difference found between the glaucoma with age i.e. p-value = 0.160. Similarly, among 62 males glaucoma was found in 9 cases while out of 38 females glaucoma was found in 5 cases. Statistically there is insignificant difference found between glaucoma with gender i.e. p-value = 0.849 (Figure 4).

Among 51 patients who had duration of RVO less than or equal to 2 months glaucoma was found in 5 cases and in 49 patients who had duration of RVO $>$ 2 months, glaucoma was found in 9 cases but the difference was insignificant i.e. p-value = 0.217. Among 46 hypertensive patients glaucoma was found in 7 cases. The difference was insignificant i.e. p-value = 0.746. In 28 diabetic patients, glaucoma was found in 10 cases but in 72 non diabetic cases, glaucoma was found in 4 cases. Statistically there was significant difference found between the glaucoma with Diabetes Mellitus i.e. p-value= 0.000 (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Glaucoma encompasses group of ophthalmic diseases that ultimately result in progressive optic neuropathy and loss of visual function. Retinal vein occlusion is an important cause of loss of vision. Glaucoma and retinal vein occlusion have an important causal relationship with one another. Most of the information about this relationship comes from case control studies, clinical trials and clinical case series.

The second leading cause of bilateral blindness is glaucoma i.e. about 8.4 million people have bilateral blindness because of glaucoma (4.5 million people having Open Angle Glaucoma (OAG) and 4 million people having Angle closure glaucoma (ACG).

Hayreh SS et al reported that the overall prevalence of glaucoma was 9.9% in patients with RVO5. Fu Chan et al supported the evidence and reported the frequency of glaucoma 9.3% among patients with RVO11. While in our study the incidence of glaucoma was 14% in the patients diagnosed as RVO, which appears higher as compared to the other studies.

While another study conducted by B Jonas et al found the frequency of glaucoma in 1.59% cases, among them it was more common in CRVO (18.9%) as compared to BRVO (2.7%)12.

A study by Hirota A et al showed highest incidence of primary angle closure exhibiting Retinal Vein Occlusion by reporting 8.1% prevalence13.

One study by da Silva et al showed that prevalence of ocular hypertension and glaucoma was 3.74% (4 patients) and 2.8% (3 patients) respectively. When considering age wise, patients $>$ 40 years, the prevalence of ocular hypertension and glaucoma was 5.4% (4 patients) and 4.76% (3 patients) respectively14.

The Geneva Study (2010) showed that hypertension was found in 64% of patients and diabetes in 12% of 1267 patients with occlusion of retinal vein (central/branch retinal vein occlusion), while in our study 46 patients were hypertensive out of which 7 were diagnosed as a case RVO15.

Study conducted by Sperduto et al found an association of CRVO with increased systemic hypertension, diabetes, and glaucoma. These associations were higher association with ischemic CRVO. This study compared 258 patients diagnosed with CRVO over four years span in five centers with 1142 age matched controls. These controls were recruited a year after diagnosis of CRVO from same eye clinics9,16. However, in one Study, for example, Klein and associates at the 5 year follow-up were unable to find an association between Ocular hypertension (OHT), IOP, BRVO and glaucoma17,18.

Studies conducted by Frucht J et al, Amelie P et al and Appiah AP et al reported a higher frequency of raised IOP in cases of occlusion of central retinal vein as compared with branch retinal vein4,19,20. As a result of above discussed findings in future there a need to find individual incidence's of different RVO subtypes. These results are comparable with the results of our study.

Our study does have some limitations like small sample size and was conducted in only one tertiary care center. It is proven that with our study and other that there is strong association between glaucoma and retinal vein occlusion. It is recommended that multicenter future studies are required to find out the exact incidence of the patients with CRVO for the presence of glaucoma.

CONCLUSION

Our study concluded that the incidence of glaucoma was significant in patients presenting with retinal vein occlusion (RVO). The frequency seems to be high. So it is recommended that every patient with RVO should be screened for Glaucoma.

REFERENCES

1. Verhoeff F. The effect of chronic glaucoma on the central retinal vessels. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1913; 42: p. 145-152.
2. Chew EY, Trope GE, Mitchell BJ. Diurnal intraocular pressure in young adults with central retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmology*, 1987 December; 94 (12): p. 1545-9.
3. Dryden RM. Central retinal vein occlusions and chronic simple glaucoma. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1965 May; 73 (5): 659-663.
4. Frucht J, Shapiro A, Merin S. Intraocular pressure in retinal vein occlusion. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1984 January; 68 (1): 26-28.
5. Hayreh SS, Zimmerman MB, Beri M, Podhajsky P. Intraocular pressure abnormalities associated with central and hemicentral retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmology*, 2004 January; 111 (1): 133-41.
6. Luntz MH, Schenker HI. Retinal vascular accidents in glaucoma and ocular hypertension. *Surv Ophthalmol.* 1980 Nov-Dec; 25 (3): 163-7.
7. Soni KG, Woodhouse DF. Retinal vascular occlusion as a presenting feature of glaucoma simplex. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1971 March; 55 (3): 192-195.
8. Vannas S, Tarkkanen A. Retinal vein occlusion and glaucoma: tonographic study of the incidence of glaucoma and of its prognostic significance. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 1960 October; 44 (10): 583-589.
9. Risk factors for central retinal vein occlusion. The Eye Disease Case-Control Study Group. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 1996 May; 114 (5): 545-54.
10. Quigley HA, Broman AT. The number of people with glaucoma worldwide in 2010 and 2020. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2006 March; 90 (3):262-267
11. Chen HF, Chen MC, Lai CC, Yeung L, Wang NK, Chen HS, Ku WC, Wu SC, Chang SH, Chuang LH. Neovascular glaucoma after central retinal vein occlusion in pre-existing glaucoma. *BMC Ophthalmol.* 2014 October; 14 (1): 119-125.
12. Jonas JB, Nangia V, Khare A, Sinha A, Lambat S. Prevalence and associations of retinal vein occlusions: the Central India Eye and Medical Study. *Retina.* 2013 January; 33 (1): 152-159.
13. Hirota A, Mishima HK, Kiuchi Y. Incidence of retinal vein occlusion at the Glaucoma Clinic of Hiroshima University. *Ophthalmologica.* 1997; 211 (5): 288-291.
14. da Silva FL, de Lourdes Veronese Rodrigues M, Akaishi PM, Cruz AA. Graves' orbitopathy: frequency of ocular hypertension and glaucoma. *Eye (Lond).* 2009 April; 23 (4): 957-959.
15. Haller JA, Bandello F, Belfort R Jr, Blumenkranz MS, Gillies M, Heier J, *et al.* Dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with macular edema related to branch or central retinal vein occlusion twelve-month study results. *Ophthalmology* 2011;118:2453-60.
16. Sperduto RD, Hiller R, Chew E, Seigel D, Blair N, Burton TC, Farber MD, Gragoudas ES, Haller J, Seddon JM, Yannuzzi LA. Risk factors for hemiretinal vein occlusion: comparison with risk factors for central and branch retinal vein occlusion: the eye disease case-control study. *Ophthalmology*, 1998 May; 105 (5): 765-771.
17. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Meuer SM. The epidemiology of retinal vein occlusion: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 2000; 98 (1): 133-143.
18. Klein R, Moss SE, Meuer SM, Klein BE. The 15-year cumulative incidence of retinal vein occlusion: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol.* 2008 April; 126 (4): 513-518.
19. Appiah AP, Trempe CL. Risk factors associated with branch vs. central retinal vein occlusion. *Ann Ophthalmol.* 1989 April; 21 (4): 153-157.
20. Amelie P, Nicolas F, Christin I, Josep C, Bernd J, Christine S. Efficacy and Safety of Intravitreal Therapy in Macular Edema Due to Branch and Central Retinal Vein Occlusion: a Systematic Review. *PLoS One*, 2013 October; 8 (10): p. e78358.